SNAPSHOT of HOME Program Performance--As of 03/31/09 Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities



Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Kent County

PJ's Total HOME Allocation Received: \$2,627,750

PJ's Size Grouping*: C

PJ Since (FY): 2005

<u> </u>						
					Nat'l Ranking (I	
Category	PJ	State Average	State Rank	Nat'l Average	Group C	Overall
Program Progress:			PJs in State: 20			
% of Funds Committed	70.63 %	89.22 %	20	91.92 %	1	1
% of Funds Disbursed	32.74 %	82.28 %	20	82.65 %	1	0
Leveraging Ratio for Rental Activities	0	3.2	18	4.67	0	0
% of Completed Rental Disbursements to All Rental Commitments***	33.14 %	89.56 %	20	81.09 %	4	4
% of Completed CHDO Disbursements to All CHDO Reservations***	25.64 %	71.23 %	20	68.72 %	7	5
Low-Income Benefit:						
% of 0-50% AMI Renters to All Renters	0.00 %	87.57 %	20	79.93 %	0	0
% of 0-30% AMI Renters to All Renters***	0.00 %	52.31 %	20	44.94 %	0	0
Lease-Up:						
% of Occupied Rental Units to All Completed Rental Units***	0.00 %	97.58 %	20	94.87 %	0	0
Overall Ranking:		In St	tate: 20 / 20	Nation	nally: 4	3
HOME Cost Per Unit and Number of Completed	Units:					
Rental Unit	\$91,086	\$26,313		\$25,717	4 Units	22.20
Homebuyer Unit	\$9,402	\$17,427		\$14,669	14 Units	77.80
Homeowner-Rehab Unit	\$0	\$22,658		\$20,391	0 Units	0.00
TBRA Unit	\$0	\$5,986		\$3,201	0 Units	0.00

^{* -} A = PJ's Annual Allocation is greater than or equal to \$3.5 million (55 PJs)

Source: Data entered by HOME Participating Jurisdictions into HUD's Integrated Disbursement and Information System (IDIS)

B = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$3.5 million and greater than or equal to \$1 million (202 PJs)

C = PJ's Annual Allocation is less than \$1 million (293 PJs)

^{** -} E.g., a percentile rank of 70 means that the performance exceeds that of 70% of PJs.

^{***-} This category is double-weighted in compiling both the State Overall Ranking and the National Overall Ranking of each PJ.

Program and Beneficiary Characteristics for Completed Units

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Kent County
--

Total Development Costs: (average reported cost per unit in HOME-assisted projects)

PJ: State:* National:**

Rental	Но
\$91,086	-
\$80,334	
\$91,120	

Homebuyer	Homeowner
\$120,556	\$0
\$72,380	\$19,369
\$73,223	\$23,149

CHDO Operating Expenses: (% of allocation)

PJ: **National Avg:** 1.5 % 1.1 %

R.S. Means Cost Index:

0.82

RACE: White: Black/African American: Asian: American Indian/Alaska Native: Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander: American Indian/Alaska Native and White: Asian and White: Black/African American and White: American Indian/Alaska Native and Black: Other Multi Racial: Asian/Pacific Islander:	Rental % 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	Homebuyer % 64.3 28.6 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0	Homeowner % 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	TBRA % 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	HOUSEHOLD TYPE: Single/Non-Elderly: Elderly: Related/Single Parent: Related/Two Parent: Other:	Rental % 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	% 14.3 14.3 21.4 50.0	Homeowner %	TBRA % 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0
ETHNICITY: Hispanic	0.0	7.1	0.0	0.0					
HOUSEHOLD SIZE: 1 Person: 2 Persons: 3 Persons: 4 Persons: 5 Persons: 6 Persons: 7 Persons:	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	14.3 14.3 7.1 7.1 35.7 21.4	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	SUPPLEMENTAL RENTAL Section 8: HOME TBRA: Other: No Assistance:	0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	0.0 [#]		
8 or more Persons:	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	# of Section 504 Compliant	t Units / Co	ompleted Un	its Since 200	1 0

^{*} The State average includes all local and the State PJs within that state



^{**} The National average includes all local and State PJs, and Insular Areas

[#] Section 8 vouchers can be used for First-Time Homebuyer Downpayment Assistance.

HOME PROGRAM SNAPSHOT WORKSHEET - RED FLAG INDICATORS

Local Participating Jurisdictions with Rental Production Activities

Participating Jurisdiction (PJ): Kent County State: MI Group Rank: 4 (Percentile)

State Rank: 20 / 20 PJs Overall Rank: 3 (Percentile)

Summary: 4 Of the 5 Indicators are Red Flags

FACTOR	DESCRIPTION	THRESHOLD*	PJ RESULTS	RED FLAG
4	% OF COMPLETED RENTAL DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL RENTAL COMMITMENTS	< 71.84%	33.14	
5	% OF COMPLETED CHDO DISBURSEMENTS TO ALL CHDO RESERVATIONS	< 47.80%	25.64	
6	% OF RENTERS BELOW 50% OF AREA MEDIAN INCOME	< 70%**	0	
8	% OF OCCUPIED RENTAL UNITS TO ALL RENTAL UNITS	< 90.38%	0	
"ALLOCATION-Y	'EARS" NOT DISBURSED***	> 3.360	2.83	

^{*} This Threshold indicates approximately the lowest 20% of the PJs



^{**} This percentage may indicate a problem with meeting the 90% of rental units and TBRA provided to households at 60% AMI requirement

^{***} Total of undisbursed HOME and ADDI funds through FY 2005 / FY2005 HOME and ADDI allocation amount. This is not a SNAPSHOT indicator, but a good indicator of program progress.